



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,283	01/16/2001	Chao-Hsin Chang	67,200-355	5574

7590 02/22/2005

TUNG & ASSOCIATES  
Suite 120  
838 W. Long Lake Road  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302

EXAMINER
----------

DASS, HARISH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3628

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/761,283

Applicant(s)

CHANG, CHAO-HSIN

Examiner

Harish T Dass

Art Unit

3628

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claims 1 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims have type error, for example: claim 1 line 15 "the at". Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al (hereinafter Chou – US 6,240,400) in view of Johnson et al (hereinafter Johnson – US 6,047,274).

Re. Claims 1 and 9, Chou discloses a method for accommodating electronic commerce in a semiconductor manufacturing capacity market where product manufacturers and users of chips to trade semiconductor manufacturing capacity [see entire document, particularly Abstract; Figure 3; C1 L1-L64],

providing a fabrication facility having available production capacity for producing at least product (solicit capacity in semiconductor manufacturing capacity market; DRAM) [C1 L10-L64; C2 L60-L67], and

allocating from the producing the least one product a first capacity for producing least one specified product (DRAM, SRAM) [C2 L60 to C3 L26].

Art Unit: 3628

Chou does not explicitly disclose auctioning, while employing a computer based auction method, the least one specified product pool of bidders comprising least one bidder, determining from the pool bidders comprising at least one bidder at least one winning bidder, and fabricating, at least one winning bidder, quantity least one specified product from the manufacturing facility while employing the first capacity for producing the at least specified product.

However, Johnson et al (hereinafter Johnson – US 6,047,274) discloses auctioning, while employing auction method, the least one specified product pool of bidders comprising least one bidder [Abstract; Figures 4, 6-7, 11-16; C5 L55 to C7 L52; C9 L15-L25], determining from the pool bidders comprising at least one bidder at least one winning bidder [C10 L14-L50], and at least one winning bidder, quantity least one specified product from the manufacturing facility while employing the first capacity for producing the at least specified product [Abstract; C1 L7-L25; C5 L55 to C7 L52; C3 L30-L37; C5 L27-L34] to provide auction services for buyer and seller who wish to sell/buy extra capacity in competitive bid. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosures of Chou and Johnson and include auction service for sell extra manufacturing capacity that manufacturer can not use which can be put for auction for competitive bid to be utilized by end user as an economical choice.

Re. Claims 2-3 and 10-11, Chou wherein least one product selected from the group consisting mechanical products, electrical products, chemical products electro-

mechanical products and wherein the least one product microelectronic product selected from group consisting integrated ceramic substrate microelectronic fabrications, optoelectronic microelectronic fabrications, sensor image optoelectronic microelectronic fabrications (semiconductors) [C1 L10 to C3 L26].

Re. Claims 4-5 and 12-13, Neither Chou nor Johnson explicitly discloses wherein the first capacity for producing the at least specified product is equal to the total available capacity producing the at least one product and wherein the first capacity producing less than the total available capacity producing at least product. Leasing or selling manufacturing capacity is management business choice and it is based on the company strategy, long term, or short term goals and market situations they compete (for example, IBM strategy to lease or sale excess semiconductor capacity at East Fishkill, NY, or Capital Locomotive of Greenville, SC, does not have orders to assemble locomotive and the assembly line is ideal and costs Capital Locomotive every month. The management decides to contract ABB Traction, who does not have manufacturing facility of its own in USA, to use the facility and pay for it as a lease to manufacture its locomotives or Capital Locomotive decide to lease partial capacity such as body repair shop for period of time.) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify disclosures of Chou and Johnson and include leasing or selling manufacturing capacity in full or partial to generate revenue when business demands to recover investment and labor cost at minimum.

Claims 6-8 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou and Johnson, as applied to claims 1, 9 above, and further in view of Ausubel (US 5,905,975).

Re. Claims 6-8 and 14-16, neither Chou nor Johnson explicitly discloses wherein auction is group consisting of English auctions, auctions, reserve auctions, non-reserve auctions, sealed bid auctions and Vickrey auctions. However, Ausubel discloses these type of auctions (English auctions, auctions, reserve auctions, non-reserve auctions, sealed bid auctions and Vickrey auctions) [see entire document particularly, Abstract; Figure 1; C1 L15 to C5 L40; C14 L20 to C15 L33] for providing a computerized auction system to allow players (bidders/offers) interactively participate in auction and use the flexibility of different auction systems for best pricing. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosures of Chou, Johnson, Ausubel to allow bidders to submit not only their current bids, but also to enter future bids into auction system.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 11/5/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 9 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Chou et al (US 6,240,400).

**Conclusion**

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 CFR ' 1.111 (c) to consider the references fully when responding to this action.

*David Hays, June 20, 2000 "Web at Work Column", The Kansas City Star, Mo., discloses Freightquote.com integrated to Capacityweb which allows manufacturing members to receive competitive bids. The Capacityweb links manufacturers to buy and sell excess manufacturing capacity.*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T Dass whose telephone number is 703-305-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S Sough can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/761,283

Page 7

Art Unit: 3628

Harish T Dass

Examiner

Art Unit 3628

2/4/05

Harish T Dass